mews PARTNERS

Qualiopi indicators

Summury of 2026 trainings KPIs

Quantitative evaluation of sessions – 1st questionnary

Relevance of topics to participants' roles

Response breakdown on a 1-to-5 scale across all six sessions



Perception of training duration

Response breakdown on a 1-to-5 scale across all six sessions



Quality and engagement

Scores out of 5 by session



Average scores overview

Averages recalculated from available scores



Average

2.86/5

Direct relevance

Average across 6 sessions

Average

4.08/5

Duration rated appropriate

Average across 5 available ratings

Average

4.6/5

Presentation quality

Average across 6 sessions

Average

4.6/5

Interactivity

Average across 5 available ratings

Quantitative evaluation of sessions – 2nd questionnary

Level 4: highly satisfactory
Level 3: satisfactory
Level 2: moderately satisfactory
Level 1: unsatisfactory

Content



Content:	**3.60**
Best score:	Compliance with the programme (3.80 / 4)
Lowest score:	Quality of the teaching materials (3.40 / 4)

The objectives, content and alignment with the job were rated very positively. The teaching materials are the main area for improvement.

Organisation



Organisation:	**3.65**
Best score:	Group composition (3.80 / 4)
Lowest score:	Communication before the training (3.40 / 4)

The organisation was broadly satisfactory. Communication of the objectives and programme before the training could be strengthened.

Facilitation



Facilitation:	**3.90**
Best score:	Facilitation and progression (3.90 / 4)
Lowest score:	No specific weakness identified

Participants particularly appreciated the trainer(s), as well as the pace and progression of the training.

Overall evaluation



Overall evaluation:	**3.66**
Best score:	Facilitation and progression (3.90 / 4)
Lowest score:	Teaching materials and communication (3.40 / 4)

The overall assessment is very positive, with 99.2% of ratings equal to 3 or 4. The recommendation score is 3.60 / 4.

Qualitative evaluation of sessions

- Good format for an awareness
- Good training, well structured
- Good balance between theroy and practice
- Good trainer
- The sue of a serious game is a plus
- Lean methods are explained clearly
- The trainer as a thorough grasp of the subject

Areas of improvement :

- More practical examples
- Up to slide 17, the information is very general, it would be helpful to go into more detail about practical applications, otherwise it remains too removed from what is actually going to happen. The rest is very interesting
- More time should be spent on extended real-world business case studies
- Slides are a little too cluttered
- Some slides could be streamlined to improve clarity